### საქართველოს სტანდარტი

გაზომვის მეთოდების და შედეგების სიზუსტე (სისწორე და პრეციზიულობა) ნაწილი 2: საბაზისო მეთოდი განმეორებადობასა და კვლავწარმოების სტანდარტული გაზომვის მეთოდის განსაზღვრისათვის

საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტო თბილისი

### სსტ ისო 5725-2:2019/2020

### საინფორმაციო მონაცემები

- 1 **შემუშავებულია** საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს სტანდარტების დეპარტამენტის მიერ
- 2 დამტკიცებულია და შემოღებულია სამოქმედოდ საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს 2020 წლის 11 ივნისის № 65 განკარგულებით
- 3 მიღებულია გარეკანის თარგმნის მეთოდით სტანდარტიზაციის საერთაშორისო ორგანიზაციის სტანდარტი ისო 5725-2:2019 ,, გაზომვის მეთოდების და შედეგების სიზუსტე (სისწორე და პრეციზიულობა) ნაწილი 2: საბაზისო მეთოდი განმეორებადობასა და კვლავწარმოების სტანდარტული გაზომვის მეთოდის განსაზღვრისათვის"

### 4 პირველად

**5 რეგისტრირებულია** საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს რეესტრში: 2020 წლის 11 ივნისი №268-1.3-017512

დაუშვებელია წინამდებარე სტანდარტის სრული ან ნაწილობრივი კვლავწარმოება, ტირაჟირება და გავრცელება სსიპ საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს ნებართვის გარეშე

# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 5725-2

Second edition 2019-12

## Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results —

### Part 2:

Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method

Exactitude (justesse et fidélité) des résultats et méthodes de mesure — Partie 2: Méthode de base pour la détermination de la répétabilité et de la reproductibilité d'une méthode de mesure normalisée





### **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2019

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Fax: +41 22 749 09 47 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

| CU   | mem  |  | Page     |  |  |
|------|--|--|----------|--|--|
| Fore | eword  |  | v        |  |  |
| Intr | oductio  | n  | vi       |  |  |
| 1    | Scone  | e  | 1        |  |  |
| 2    | -  | native references  |          |  |  |
|      |  |  |          |  |  |
| 3    | Terms and definitions  Symbols                 |  |          |  |  |
| 4    |  |  |          |  |  |
| 5    | Estimates of the parameters in the basic model |  |          |  |  |
| 6    | Requ   | irements for a precision experiment  | 5        |  |  |
|      | 6.1  | Layout of the experiment   | 5        |  |  |
|      | 6.2  | Recruitment of the laboratories  |          |  |  |
|      | 6.3  | Preparation of the materials   |          |  |  |
| 7    | Perso  | onnel involved in a precision experiment   | 7        |  |  |
|      | 7.1  | Panel  |          |  |  |
|      | 7.2  | Statistical functions  |          |  |  |
|      | 7.3<br>7.4                                     | Executive functions  |          |  |  |
|      | 7. <del>1</del><br>7.5                         | Operators  |          |  |  |
| 8    |  | stical analysis of a precision experiment  |          |  |  |
| 0    | 8.1  | Preliminary considerations   | 10<br>10 |  |  |
|      | 8.2  | Tabulation of the results and notation used  | 11       |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.1 Cells  |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.2 Redundant data   | 11       |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.3 Missing data   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.4 Outliers   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.5 Outlying laboratories 8.2.6 Erroneous data   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.6 Erroneous data   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.8 Collation of data and intermediate values  |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.9 Original test results  |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.10 Cell means (Form B of Figure 2)   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.2.11 Measures of cell spread (Form C of Figure 2)                                      |          |  |  |
|      | 0.0  | 8.2.12 Corrected or rejected data  |          |  |  |
|      | 8.3  | Scrutiny of results for consistency and outliers   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.3.1 Approaches for scrutiny of data 8.3.2 Graphical consistency technique              |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.3.3 Numerical outlier technique  |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.3.4 Cochran's test   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.3.5 Grubbs' tests  | 18       |  |  |
|      |  | 8.3.6 Repeated testing for outlying means or outlying data points                        |          |  |  |
|      | 0.4  | 8.3.7 Alternative outlier inspection and test methods                                    |          |  |  |
|      | 8.4  | Calculation of the general mean and variances  |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.4.2 Basic data   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.4.3 Non-empty cells  |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.4.4 Calculation of the general mean, $\hat{m}$   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.4.5 Calculation of variances   |          |  |  |
|      |  | 8.4.6 Alternative calculation methods for variances                                      |          |  |  |
|      | a -  | 8.4.7 Dependence of the variances upon <i>m</i>  | 23       |  |  |
|      | 8.5  | Establishing a functional relationship between precision values, <i>s</i> , and the mean | 22       |  |  |
|      |  | level, <i>m</i>  |          |  |  |
|      |  | 5.5.1 Shore of functional relationship   | 23       |  |  |

|  | 8.5.2  | Fitting relationships I and II   | 24  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  | 8.5.3  | Fitting relationship III   | 25  |  |
|  | 8.5.4  | Fitting relationship IV  | 26  |  |
| 8.6  | Statisti   | cal analysis as a step-by-step procedure   | 28  |  |
| 8.7  | Report to the panel and decisions to be taken by the panel |  |   |  |
|  | 8.7.1  | Report by the statistical expert   | 30  |  |
|  | 8.7.2  | Decisions to be taken by the panel   | 32  |  |
|  | 8.7.3  | Full report  | 33  |  |
| Statist  | ical tab   |  |   |  |
| Annex A (informative) Number of laboratories required for an estimate of precision |  |  |   |  |
| Annex B (informative) Alternative calculations of variance components              |  |  |   |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |
| Bibliography   |  |  |   |  |
|  | Statist A (info  | 8.5.3 8.5.4 8.6 Statisti 8.7 Report 8.7.1 8.7.2 8.7.3  Statistical tab A (informative C (informative | 8.5.3 Fitting relationship III 8.5.4 Fitting relationship IV 8.6 Statistical analysis as a step-by-step procedure 8.7 Report to the panel and decisions to be taken by the panel 8.7.1 Report by the statistical expert 8.7.2 Decisions to be taken by the panel 8.7.3 Full report  Statistical tables  A (informative) Number of laboratories required for an estimate of precision  B (informative) Alternative calculations of variance components  C (informative) Examples of the statistical analysis of precision experiments  D (informative) Calculation of critical values and indicators |  |

### **Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 69, *Applications of statistical methods*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Measurement methods and results*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 5725-2:1994), which has been technically revised. It also incorporates the Technical Corrigendum ISO 5725-2:1994/Cor 1:2002.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- permission is given to use alternative scrutiny and outlier detection tests provided that the performance is similar;
- permission is given to apply modern statistical methods available for calculations of the relevant precision and trueness characteristics;
- guidance on the number of laboratories required for a precision study has been included;
- information on the computation of critical values has been included.

A list of all parts in the ISO 5725 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <a href="https://www.iso.org/members.html">www.iso.org/members.html</a>.

### Introduction

ISO 5725 uses two terms, "trueness" and "precision", to describe the accuracy of a measurement method. "Trueness" refers to the closeness of agreement between the arithmetic mean of a large number of test results and the true or accepted reference value. "Precision" refers to the closeness of agreement between test results.

General consideration of these quantities is given in ISO 5725-1 and so is not repeated in this document. ISO 5725-1 should be read in conjunction with all other parts of ISO 5725, including this part, because it gives the underlying definitions and general principles.

This document is concerned solely with estimating the repeatability standard deviation and reproducibility standard deviation based on an interlaboratory design in which each laboratory conducts a number of independent measurements of the same sample under repeatability conditions. There are other designs (such as nested, factorial or split-level experiments) which can be used for the estimation of precision: these are not dealt with in this document but rather are the subject of other parts of ISO 5725. Nor does this document consider any other measures of precision intermediate between the two principal measures; those are the subject of ISO 5725-3.

In certain circumstances, the data obtained from an experiment carried out to estimate precision are used also to estimate trueness and can be used to evaluate measurement uncertainty. The estimation of trueness is not considered in this document; all aspects of the estimation of trueness are the subject of ISO 5725-4. The evaluation of measurement uncertainty, using inter-laboratory estimates of trueness and precision, is the subject of ISO 21748.

<u>Annex C</u> provides practical examples of estimating the precision of measurement methods by experiment. Worked examples are given to demonstrate balanced uniform sets of test results, although in one example a variable number of replicates per cell were reported (unbalanced design) and in another some data were missing. This is because an experiment designed to be balanced can turn out to be unbalanced. Stragglers and outliers are also considered.