საქართველოს სტანდარტი

მანქანა-დანადგარების უსაფრთხოება - კონტროლის სისტემების უსაფრთხოებასთან დაკავშირებული დეტალები - ნაწილი 2: დადასტურება (ისო 13849:2012)

> საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტო თბილისი

სსტ ენ ისო 13849-2:2012/2019

საინფორმაციო მონაცემები

- 1 **შემუშავებულია** საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს სტანდარტების დეპარტამენტის მიერ
- 2 დამტკიცებულია და შემოღებულია სამოქმედოდ საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს 2019 წლის 6 დეკემბრის № 98 განკარგულებით
- **3 მიღებულია გარეკანის თარგმნის მეთოდით** სტანდარტიზაციის ევროპული კომიტეტის სტანდარტი ენ ისო 13849-2:2012 "მანქანა-დანადგარების უსაფრთხოება კონტროლის სისტემების უსაფრთხოებასთან დაკავშირებული დეტალები ნაწილი 2: დადასტურება (ისო 13849:2012)"

4 პირველად

5 რეგისტრირებულია საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს რეესტრში: 2019 წლის 6 დეკემბერი №268-1.3-016554

დაუშვებელია წინამდებარე სტანდარტის სრული ან ნაწილობრივი კვლავწარმოება, ტირაჟირება და გავრცელება სსიპ საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს ნებართვის გარეშე

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN ISO 13849-2

October 2012

ICS 13.110

Supersedes EN ISO 13849-2:2008

English Version

Safety of machinery - Safety-related parts of control systems - Part 2: Validation (ISO 13849-2:2012)

Sécurité des machines - Parties des systèmes de commande relatives à la sécurité - Partie 2: Validation (ISO 13849-2:2012)

Sicherheit von Maschinen - Sicherheitsbezogene Teile von Steuerungen - Teil 2: Validierung (ISO 13849-2:2012)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 14 October 2012.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents	Page
Foreword	3
Annex ZA (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2006/42/EC	

Foreword

This document (EN ISO 13849-2:2012) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 199 "Safety of machinery" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 114 "Safety of machinery" the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by April 2013, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by April 2013.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes EN ISO 13849-2:2008.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association, and supports essential requirements of EU Directive.

For relationship with EU Directive, see informative Annex ZA, which is an integral part of this document.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Endorsement notice

The text of ISO 13849-2:2012 has been approved by CEN as a EN ISO 13849-2:2012 without any modification.

Annex ZA (informative)

Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2006/42/EC

This European Standard has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association to provide a means of conforming to Essential Requirements of the New Approach Directive Machinery, 2006/42/EC.

Once this standard is cited in the Official Journal of the European Union under that Directive and has been implemented as a national standard in at least one Member State, compliance with the normative clauses of this standard confers, within the limits of the scope of this standard, a presumption of conformity with the relevant Essential Requirements 1.2.1 of that Directive and associated EFTA regulations.

WARNING — Other requirements and other EU Directives may be applicable to the product(s) falling within the scope of this standard.

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 13849-2

Second edition 2012-10-15

Safety of machinery — Safety-related parts of control systems —

Part 2: Validation

Sécurité des machines — Parties des systèmes de commande relatives à la sécurité —

Partie 2: Validation





COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2012

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword	Con	tents	Page			
1 Scope 1 2 Normative references 1 3 Terms and definitions 1 4 Validation process 1 4.1 Validation principles 1 4.2 Validation plan 3 4.3 Generic fault lists 4 4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6 Validation by testing 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of cafety functions 9 <th>Forev</th> <th>vord</th> <th>iv</th>	Forev	vord	iv			
2 Normative references 1 3 Terms and definitions 1 4 Validation process 1 4.1 Validation plan 3 4.2 Validation plan 3 4.3 Generic fault lists 4 4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 7 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of category specification for safety functions 9 9 Validation of of category specifications 10 9.1 </th <th>Intro</th> <th>duction</th> <th>v</th>	Intro	duction	v			
2 Normative references 1 3 Terms and definitions 1 4 Validation process 1 4.1 Validation plan 3 4.2 Validation plan 3 4.3 Generic fault lists 4 4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 7 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of category specification for safety functions 9 9 Validation of of category specifications 10 9.1 </th <th>1</th> <th>Scope</th> <th>1</th>	1	Scope	1			
3 Terms and definitions 1 4 Validation process 1 4.1 Validation principles 1 4.2 Validation plan 3 4.3 Generic fault lists 4 4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of exercifications 10 9.2 Validation of messures against systematic failures	2	•				
4 Validation process 1 4.1 Validation principles 1 4.2 Validation plan 3 4.3 Generic fault lists 4 4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6 Validation by testing 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 9 Validation of of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category specifications 10 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
4.1 Validation principles 1 4.2 Validation plan 3 4.3 Generic fault lists 4 4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6 Validation by testing 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/C						
4.3 Generic fault lists 4 4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation record 6 5 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of of combination of safety-related parts 13 9.6 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14	T					
4.4 Specific fault lists 4 4.5 Information for validation 4 4.6 Validation record 6 5 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6 Validation by testing 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of TFG, DCava and CCF 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation and verification of performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.6 Validation of afety-related software						
4.5 Information for validation 4.6 Validation record 6 5 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6 Validation by testing 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of masures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation and verification of performance level 14 9.7 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 13 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 14 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 15 10 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 21 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for phydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 30 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 33						
4.6 Validation record 6 5 Validation by analysis 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Analysis techniques 7 6 Validation by testing 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of Category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 13 9.6 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 10 Validation of environmental requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for phydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 31 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 53						
5 Validation by analysis						
5.1 General	5					
6 Validation by testing 7 6.1 General 7 6.2 Measurement accuracy 8 6.3 More stringent requirements 8 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 13 9.6 Validation of safety-related software 14 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 10 Validation of environmental requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 21 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 40 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 53	0					
6.1 General		5.2 Analysis techniques	7			
6.2 Measurement accuracy 6.3 More stringent requirements 6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 9.2 Validation of Category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 10 Validation of environmental requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for phydraulic systems 21 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for leattrical systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 32 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means	6	Validation by testing	7			
6.3 More stringent requirements 6.4 Number of test samples 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 Validation of safety functions 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 9.1 Analysis and testing 9.2 Validation of category specifications 9.3 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 10 Validation of environmental requirements 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 13 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 14 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 15 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 15 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means						
6.4 Number of test samples 8 7 Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of MTTFd, DCavg and CCF 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 13 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 14 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 10 Validation of environmental requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 21 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 30 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 53						
Validation of safety requirements specification for safety functions 9 Validation of safety functions 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 9.1 Analysis and testing 9.2 Validation of category specifications 9.3 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 Validation of environmental requirements 15 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 21 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 32 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means						
8 Validation of safety functions 9 9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 13 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 14 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 10 Validation of environmental requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 31 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 53	7	•				
9 Validation of performance levels and categories 10 9.1 Analysis and testing 10 9.2 Validation of category specifications 10 9.3 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF. 12 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS. 13 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 13 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 14 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 10 Validation of environmental requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 21 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 31 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 53						
9.1 Analysis and testing 9.2 Validation of category specifications 9.3 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF 9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS 9.5 Validation of safety-related software 9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 10 Validation of environmental requirements 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 15 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 18 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 19 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 20 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means						
9.2 Validation of category specifications	9	Validation of performance levels and categories	10			
9.3 Validation of MTTF _d , DC _{avg} and CCF						
9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and category of SRP/CS						
9.5 Validation of safety-related software		9.4 Validation of measures against systematic failures related to performance level and				
9.6 Validation and verification of performance level 14 9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts 14 10 Validation of environmental requirements 15 11 Validation of maintenance requirements 15 12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use 16 Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems 17 Annex B (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 21 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 40 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 53						
9.7 Validation of combination of safety-related parts						
10 Validation of environmental requirements						
11 Validation of maintenance requirements	10	,				
12 Validation of technical documentation and information for use		•				
Annex A (informative) Validation tools for mechanical systems		•				
Annex B (informative) Validation tools for pneumatic systems 21 Annex C (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems 31 Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems 40 Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means 53						
Annex C (informative) Validation tools for hydraulic systems						
Annex D (informative) Validation tools for electrical systems		•				
Annex E (informative) Example of validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means						
		•				
DIVITUEL APITY		ography				

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 13849-2 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 199, Safety of machinery.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 13849-2:2003), which has been technically revised in order to adapt to ISO 13849-1:2006. In addition, the new Annex E provides an example for the validation of fault behaviour and diagnostic means.

ISO 13849 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Safety of machinery — Safety-related* parts of control systems:

- Part 1: General principles for design
- Part 2: Validation

Annexes A to D, which are informative, are structured according to Table 1.

Table 1 — Structure of Annexes A to D of this part of ISO 13849

Annex	Technology	List of basic safety principles	List of well-tried safety principles	List of well-tried components	Fault lists and fault exclusions
		Table(s)			
A	Mechanical	A.1	A.2	A.3	A.4, A.5
В	Pneumatic	B.1	B.2	_	B.3 to B.18
С	Hydraulic	C.1	C.2	_	C.3 to C.12
D	Electrical (includes electronics)	D.1	D.2	D.3	D.4 to D.21

Introduction

The structure of safety standards in the field of machinery is as follows:

- a) type-A standards (basic safety standards) giving basic concepts, principles for design and general aspects that can be applied to machinery;
- b) type-B standards (generic safety standards) dealing with one safety aspect or one type of safeguard that can be used across a wide range of machinery:
 - type-B1 standards on particular safety aspects (for example safety distances, surface temperature, noise);
 - type-B2 standards on safeguards (for example two-hand controls, interlocking devices, pressure-sensitive devices, guards);
- c) type-C standards (machine safety standards) dealing with detailed safety requirements for a particular machine or group of machines.

This document is a type-B standard as stated in ISO 12100.

The requirements of this document can be supplemented or modified by a type-C standard.

For machines which are covered by the scope of a type-C standard and which have been designed and built according to the requirements of that standard, the requirements of that type-C standard take precedence.

This part of ISO 13849 specifies the validation process for the safety functions, categories and performance levels for the safety-related parts of control systems. It recognizes that the validation of safety-related parts of control systems can be achieved by a combination of analysis (see Clause 5) and testing (see Clause 6), and specifies the particular circumstances in which testing ought to be carried out.

Most of the procedures and conditions in this part of ISO 13849 are based on the assumption that the simplified procedure for estimating the performance level (PL) described in ISO 13849-1:2006, 4.5.4, is used. This part of ISO 13849 does not provide guidance for situations when other procedures are used to estimate PL (e.g. Markov modelling), in which case some of its provisions will not apply and additional requirements can be necessary.

Guidance on the general principles for the design (see ISO 12100) of safety-related parts of control systems, regardless of the type of technology used (electrical, hydraulic, pneumatic, mechanical, etc.), is provided in ISO 13849-1. This includes descriptions of some typical safety functions, determination of their required performance levels, and general requirements of categories and performance levels.

Within this part of ISO 13849, some of the validation requirements are general, whereas others are specific to the type of technology used.